Government Survey into Noise from Wind Turbines

		(REF: NA	ANR233)			
Name of wind farm		Mynydd Clogau Wind Farm, Adfa (u)				
How many complaints		One				
were received about this						
wind farm?						
In what year was each		2006				
complaint made?						
(if more than one						
complaint please list the						
years)						
Please provide a detailed		The wind farm was commissioned in January 2006 and according to				
description of	the noise	the complainants was only just audible at this point. They made their				
complaint(s):		complaint later in the year that the turbines were now audible and				
(eg, time of day, wind		affecting them. Their main complaint was that noise was affecting				
conditions, description of		their enjoyment of their garden and other outdoor spaces. They only				
noise, any other factors		occasionally reported being affected by noise within their property,				
that might have		therefore the complaint was restricted to day light hours.				
to the complain	ıt)					
		The complaints were all associated with periods of low wind speed				
THIS INFORM		and probably around and just above cut in. Higher wind speeds were				
VERY USEFUL SO		not cited by the complainants as being a particular problem.				
PLEASE USE AS MUCH						
SPACE AS NECESSARY.		The complainants talked at length about the monotony of the noise				
IF THERE WAS MORE		they were experiencing. They described two parts to the noise a				
THAN ONE		swoosh and a mechanical or motor noise which they described as a				
COMPLAINT AND THE		whine.				
COMPLAINTS HAD		Although mat miles matter and the second sec				
DIFFERENT CAUSES PLEASE COMPLETE A		Although not relevant to any investigations undertaken one				
		potentially contributory factor to the complaint is that the complainants state that they were unaware of the proposed				
SEPARATE TABLE FOR THE DIFFERENT TYPES		development when they bought their property.				
OF COMPLAI		development when they bought their property.				
Or COMI LAI	INI.					
Do any of the	following ter	ms describe the noise	that was on	mnlained about at th	hic wind form	
site? (Please d			. mai was cui	mpiaineu avout at ti	uis win u ia i iii	
Swish	NO	Throbbing	NO	'train that	NO	
				never gets		
Swoosh	YES	Thudding	NO	there'		
Ghostly	NO	Thumping	NO	'like motion	NO	
Noises				sickness'		
		Pulsating	NO			

Swish	NO	Throbbing	NO	'train that	NO
Swoosh	YES	Thudding	NO	never gets there'	
Ghostly Noises	NO	Thumping	NO	'like motion sickness'	NO
		Pulsating	NO		
Wooh	NO			Whistling	NO
Wooh		Whooshing	NO		
				Rhythmical	NO
Beating	NO	Distant Helicopter	NO	Beat	
Lapping	NO			Other	Mechanical
		Rumbling	NO	(please list):	whine +
Grinding	NO				monotonous
					drone

Did you visit the home of the	YES				
complainant?					
Did you visit the wind farm site?	YES				
Did you hear the noise that was being complained about?	NO				
Briefly describe the investigation that took place.	The wind farm operator was first requested to demonstrate compliance with his planning condition which in essence had the following wording:-				
	'The wind turbine noise level shall not at any time exceed 35dB(A) expressed as LA90 _(10mins) at wind speeds not exceeding 10m/s at all houses existing at the time of the development, except at the complainants property where a level not to exceed 35dB(A) expressed as LA90 _(10mins) at wind speeds not exceeding 6m/s and a level of 37.5dB(A) thereafter up to 10m/s.'				
	Measurements were undertaken by noise consultants acting on behalf of the operator, between 31 st July and 22 nd August 2006, which demonstrated compliance with the planning condition. The report also concluded that no tonal penalties need be applied to levels recorded.				
·	The complainants then requested that a Statutory Nuisance Investigation be carried out, which was to be based on a more subjective approach. One visit was made in October at the complainant's request however they reported that the noise level had reduced by the time I reached their property.				
	At this point the complaint was effectively withdrawn as the complainants reported that noise was not a problem in the winter months, due to increased background levels				
	The complainant has stated their intention to complain again next year at the point at which they allege that they will be affected by noise from the site.				
Was the wind farm judged to be causing a noise nuisance?	NO				
What action, if any, was taken?	The wind farm operator demonstrated compliance with the planning conditions set against the site.				
	The statutory nuisance investigation was begun, however the complaint was effectively withdrawn by the complainants.				
	No formal action was taken against the site as Nuisance was not proved.				

This project is about Amplitude
Modulation of Aerodynamic Noise
(AM) which can be described as 'Wind
turbine blade noise which is modulated at
blade passage frequency (typically once
per second) with a sharper attack and a
more clearly defined character than usual
blade swoosh. It is sometimes described
as being like a distant train or distant
piling operations'. Does the noise from
this wind farm conform to this
description?

NO – I don't believe that it does. Although I did not actually witness the noise I have had extensive conversation with the complainants and the noise that they complained about did not match this description.

Apologies for the delay in response, please find attached response to questionnaire. This response relates to one of two windfarrms that have received complaints against them.

I have not reported complaints received from the other windfarm which is the Penrhydllan and Llidiartywaun wind farm site, also they were a number of years ago. This was a very early wind farm site and the complaints arose as a result of problems with the wind turbine design which was acknowledged and rectified by the site operators at the time.

If I can be of any further assistance please do not hesitate to contact me regards